

A phone call was made to applicant's attorney, Fred C. Hernandez on January 26th, 2010, the applicant indicated that he is no longer on the case. The examiner then called the current applicant's attorney James M. Heslin on January 28th, 2010. The applicant's attorney was not available so the examiner left a voice mail stating that application 10/723,273 looks allowable the only issues are claims 18-21, 31 and 32 and that the applicant contact the examiner as soon as possible. On February 2nd, 2010, the examiner called the applicant's attorney James M. Heslin and discussed that the current application looks allowable with the exception of claims 18-21, 31 and 32. Claims 18-21, 31 and 32 recite limitations specifically "an ejection member movably disposed in the housing wherein the ejection member is completely prevented from moving outside of the housing" not disclosed in the applicant's specification. The examiner pointed out to paragraph [0064] which recites that Figs. 9 and 10A shows ejection member which is a flange 910 positioned outside of the housing 850. The applicant's attorney indicated he would contact the applicant and let the examiner know what next step to take. On February 3rd, 2010, the applicant's attorney called and left a voice mail indicating that the applicant's has agreed to cancel claims 18-21, 31 and 32.